

BEST PRACTICE WORKSHOP

FERRYBOX INTRODUCTION

David HYDES NOC

Friday 5 October 2012

Speaker I Organism I adresse mail

Best practice What is it? How can we develop it? How can we share?

Crete is second meeting following meeting at HZG September 2011.

Meeting minutes and Deliverable 3.1 Report on current status

- 1. FB questionnaires
- 2. Quality Assessment
 - 1. Status on quality control and data handling (in connection with WP5)
 - 2. Overview of quality assessment procedures in the community
 - 3. Quality criteria appropriate fro FerryBox
 - 4. Quality flags (according to SeaDataNet)
- 3. Best practise:
 - 1.Data vocabularies consistent with SeaDataNet for use by EMODNET
 - 2. Goals of QC development in JERICO
 - 3. Status data transfer, communication
 - 4. Real time data processing incl. QC/QA community needs
 - 5. Post processing incl QC/QA community needs
 - 6.Data storage and access for internal and external use (via pick user pick up from a ftp site?) and data flow to other communities (MyOcean, JERICO, EMODNET)
- 4. Calibration
 - 1. Common procedures

Key Points

Context - MyOcean - SeaDataNet

Common procedures

Data QC and reporting - Meta-data & Flagging

Operations ie what will be produced for WP7?

Interoperability requirements: Discussions considered

- 1. Public access and visibility of FB data.
- 2. How can we get all FB data for a certain date in a defined area?
- 3. HZG can offer their database for other users. HZG database has interactive visualization and download tools via an internet browser.
- 4. In WP7 data go to MyOcean data will be labelled JERICO data. NO data tools are offered.
- 5. What do we need from the JERICO data tools?
- 6. A Data Management handbook was in preparation. This will define the approaches that need to be taken for automation of QC of the data.